Chimia 47 (1993) 213–214 © Neue Schweizerische Chemische Gesellschaft ISSN 0009–4293

On the Adsorption of CO₂ by Active Carbons

Fritz Stoeckli^a)*, Didier Huguenin^a), Alain Greppi^a), Timour Jakubov^b), Anatolyi Pribylov^b), Serguei Kalashnikov^b), Anatolyi Fomkin^b), Alexsander Pulin^b), Natalya Regent^b), and Vladimir Serpinski^b)

Abstract. The combination of adsorption and calorimetric techniques shows that the adsorption of CO_2 is a two-step process in the case of active carbons with large micropores (L > 1.2–1.5 nm). For smaller pores, on the other hand, one observes a uniform filling of the volume. These mechanisms are in agreement with earlier experiments carried out with CH_2Cl_2 at 293 K.

1. Introduction

Adsorption of typical organic and inorganic vapors by active carbons is described by the theory of *Dubinin*, and it is basically a process of volume filling of the micropores. The basic relation is the *Dubinin-Astakhov* equation [1],

$$W = W_0 \exp\{-(A/\beta E_0)^n\}$$
(1)

where W represents the volume filled at temperature T and relative pressure p/p_o ; W_o is the total volume of the micropores, $A = RT \ln(p_o/p)$ and n, E_o , and β are specific parameters of the system under investigation. In the case of high pressures, p_o/p is replaced by the ratio of the fugacities f_o/f . For a variety of active carbons, n = 2and Eqn. 1 becomes the classical expression of Dubinin and Radushkevich. A plot of $\ln(W)$ vs. $(A/\beta)^2$ leads to parameters W_0 and E_0 . For the main adsorptives used here, CO₂ and CH₂Cl₂, parameter β is equal to 0.44 and 0.66.

It has been shown [2], that parameter E_0 [kJ/mol], the so-called characteristic energy of the micropore system, is related to the average micropore width L by

$$L [nm] = 10.8/(E_0 - 11.4)$$
 (2)

If one assumes slit-shaped micropores, the surface area of their walls S_{mi} , is

*Correspondence: Prof. F. Stoeckli ^a) Institut de Chimie Université de Neuchâtel Avenue de Bellevaux 51 CH-2000 Neuchâtel

^b) Institute of Physical Chemistry Russian Academy of Sciences 117915 Moscow, Russia

$$S_{\rm mi}[{\rm m}^2/{\rm g}] = 2 \cdot 10^3 \cdot W_0 [{\rm cm}^3/{\rm g}]/L[{\rm nm}] (3)$$

Microporous carbons often contain a non-microporous or external surface area S_e . It can be obtained either from the decomposition of the overall isotherm, by substracting the contribution of the micropores, or from the enthalpy of immersion into an organic liquid (*e.g.* CH₂Cl₂, whose vapor can be used for the adsorption isotherm). From *Eqn. 1*, one can derive an expression for the enthalpy of immersion [1],

$$\Delta h_i(J/g) = -\beta E_o W_o \pi^{1/2} (1 + \alpha T)/2 \cdot V_m + h_i S_e$$
(4)

where a and $V_{\rm m}$ are the thermal expansion coefficient and the molar volume of the liquid, and $h_{\rm i}$ is the enthalpy of wetting of the surface by the liquid. The two techniques are usually in good agreement [1].

As shown recently, the total surface of a microporous carbon, $S_t = S_{mi} + S_e$, can also be obtained from the enthalpies of immersion into aqueous solutions of caffeine [3] or ammonia [4].

As shown elswhere [5], in the case of strongly activated carbons (micropore width L larger than 1.2–1.5 nm and virtually no narrow pores) the adsorption of small molecules begins with the coating of the walls, before the actual filling of the volume can take place. This can be detected by a different slope in the initial part (very low relative pressures) of the logarithmic plot of Eqn. 1.

Further evidence is provided by the combination of adsorption and calorimetric techniques, as well as independent determination of the surface area of micropore walls. This type of investigation has already been applied to different active carbons [5] with CH_2Cl_2 at 293 K as the main probe.

In the case of CO_2 , another popular adsorptive, the distinction between the coating of the walls and the subsequent filling of the micropores is clearly observed for active carbons with a high degree of burn-off. These solides contain essentially large micropores created at the expenses of the smaller pores present initially (smaller pores having been destroyed).

In the present paper, we further illustrate the various mechanisms, already observed with CH_2Cl_2 , by combining adsorption and calorimetric data obtained for CO_2 . We used two well-characterized active carbons CMS and U-03 with average pore-withs of 0.7 and 1.5 nm. The main characteristic are given in the *Table* and the micropore distribution of CMS is shown in *Fig. 1*.



Fig. 1. The distribution of microporosity in carbon CMS. L denotes the width, as seen by various molecular probes [2].



Fig. 2. The Dubinin-Radushkevich plots for the adsorption of $CH_2Cl_2(\blacktriangle)$ at 293 K, CO₂ (•) at 248 K, 296 K, 310 K on carbon CMS (left-hand side of the plot), and for CO₂ at 246 K (•) and 293 K (•) on carbon U-03 (righthand side of the plot), versus the quantity (A/ β)². Nam corresponds to the coating of the micropore walls of carbon U-03 by CO₂, extrapolated from section A. Not all experimental points are shown.



Fig. 3. The isosteric heat of adsorption of CO_2 on samples CMS (\blacksquare) and U-03 (\bullet), as a function of the amount adsorbed

2. Results and Discussion

Prior to adsorption and calorimetric experiments, the solids were prepared following standard procedures [6]. The equipment and the techniques used at Neuchâtel (adsorption at low pressures and gas-solid adsorption calorimetry), and in Moscow (adsorption at pressures up to 100 atm) is described elsewhere [1][5][7].

Fig. 2. shows the logarithmic-plots of Eqn. 1 with n = 2 (Dubinin-Radushkevich plot) for the adsorption on the two solids. In the case of carbon CMS, the data for CO₂ at 273 K, 296 K, and 310 K and for $4 \cdot 10^{-3} atm an unusually wide$ range of pressures, falls on the same and unique line as CH2Cl2 at 293 K and leads to a micropore volume $W_0 = 0.25 \text{ cm}^3/\text{g}.$ For carbon U-03, on the other hand, the graph for CO₂ at 248 K ($9 \cdot 10^{-1}$ mmHg) shows a clear break near $(A/\beta)^2 =$ $10 \cdot 10^8 \text{ J}^2 \cdot \text{mol}^{-2}$ (p = 15 Torr). Moreover, the data for 293 K covers only section A of the plot, the usual pressure range p < 760torr corresponding to smaller values of p/p_o and consequently to larger values of $(A/\beta)^2$. The latter extrapolates to 3.53 mmol/g or 595 m²/g which is close to the surface area of the micropores obtained form other techniques $(560 \pm 50 \text{ m}^2/\text{g})$ [4]. Section B, on the other hand, corresponds to the actual filling of the pores and it extrapolates to $W_0 = 0.52 \text{ cm}^3/\text{g}$, in agreement with other adsorptives. A similar pattern has been reported for other carbons [5].

The isosteric heat of adsorption of CO_2

Table. The Main Characteristics of the Carbons [2][6]

Carbon	$W_0 [\mathrm{cm}^3/\mathrm{g}]$	E_0 [kJ/mol]	$S_{\rm mi} [{\rm m}^2/{\rm g}]$	$S_{\rm e} [{\rm m}^2/{\rm g}]$	<i>L</i> [nm]
CMS	0.25	26.2	608	28	0.75
U-03	0.52	17.2	570	60	1.8

on solid CMS, derived form the isotherms at 273, 296, and 310 K (Moscow), leads to 27 ± 2 kJ/mol (*Fig. 3*). This corresponds to the filling of the relatively small micropores (0.6–0.9 nm). For carbon U-03, on the other hand, the isotherms at 248 and 293 K (Neuchâtel) lead to 22 ± 2 kJ/mol, against 20 ± 2 kJ/mol for the differential heat of adsorption measured calorimetrically at 293 K (gas-solid interface). The two are in good agreement and correspond to the coating of the micropore walls (section A in *Fig. 2*).

In the case of U-03, it is also possible to estimate the isosteric heat of adsorption for the subsequent filling of the micropores (section B in *Fig. 2*), on the basis of *Dubinin*'s theory [8]. One obtains *ca.* 21 kJ/mol for $W/W_0 = 0.5$. This value is smaller than the filling of the micropores of solid CMS, but still higher than the coating of an open (nonporous) carbonaceous surface by CO₂, 18 kJ/mol [9].

The present investigation, based on CO_2 , confirms the differences in the mechanisms for the adsorption of small molecules in small micropores (a one step filling) and in large micropores (two steps), as observed earlier with CH_2Cl_2 [5][6]. The study also shows that care has to be taken, when CO_2 adsorption is used near 273–293 K and at relatively low pressures, for strongly activated carbons. In this case, the limiting adsorption obtained from the logarithmic plot of Eqn. 1 may lead to the surface area of the micropore walls and not to their volume W_0 .

Received: March 3, 1993

- D.C. Bansal, J.B. Donnet, H.F. Stoeckli, 'Active Carbon', Marcel Dekker, New York, 1988.
- [2] H.F. Stoeckli, P. Rebstein, L. Ballerini, Carbon 1990, 28, 907.
- [3] L. Ballerini, D. Huguenin, P. Rebstein, F. Stoeckli, J. Chim. Phys. 1990, 87, 1709.
- [4] D. Huguenin, H.F. Stoeckli, J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. 1993, 89, in press.
- [5] F. Stoeckli, D. Huguenin, A. Greppi, J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. 1993, in press.
- [6] F. Stoeckli, D. Huguenin, P. Rebstein, J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. 1991, 87, 1233.
- [7] T.S. Jakubov, V.V. Serpinski, Int. Rev. Phys. Chem. 1993, in press.
- [8] M.M. Dubinin, 'Progress in Surface and Membrane Science', Eds. D.A. Cadenhead, J.F. Danielli, and N.D. Rosenberg, Academic Press, London, 1975, Vol. 9.
- [9] R.J. Tyler, H.J. Wouterlood, Carbon 1971, 9, 467.